

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,868	12/03/2004	Dorothy French	P1959R1	1564
9157 GENENTECH	9157 7590 01/08/2008 GENENTECH, INC.		EXAMINER	
1 DNA WAY			HIRIYANNA, KELAGINAMANE T	
SOUTH SAN I	FRANCISCO, CA 9408	0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/516,868	FRENCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kelaginamane T. Hiriyanna	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 No</u>	ovember 2007.					
,	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11,179,181,182 and 187-196</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-11, 179, 181-182 and 187-196</u> is/are rejected.						
· 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
	ologion roquironi.					
Application-Papers-						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t I}$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Otner:					

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/06/2007 has been entered.

Applicant's response filed on 11/06/2007 in response to office action mailed on 06/14/2007 has been acknowledged.

Claims 1-9, 12-178, 180, and 183-186 are cancelled.

Claims 10-11 and 189 are amended.

Claims 10-11, 179, 181-182 and 187-196 are pending and are examined in this office action.

Applicants are required to follow Amendment Practice under revised 37 CFR §1.121. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The references cited herein are of record in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 (1st paragraph)

Claims 10, 11, 189 and their dependent claims 179, 181-182, 187-188 and 190-196 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a FGF19 transgenic mouse wherein transgene is expressed under the control of a MLC promoter and wherein said transgenic mouse has a phenotype of developing hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), is not enabled for a HCC mouse wherein

Application/Control Number: 10/516,868

Art Unit: 1633

the FGF19 gene is driven by any promoter. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The scope of instant invention encompasses obtaining a transgenic mouse with a phenotype of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) by expressing FGF-19 transgene under the control of any promoter (gene promoter). However, the specification only provides guidance and/or evidences regarding generation of a transgenic mouse with FGF19 cDNA driven by MLC promoter and its characterization (Example 8, p.91-95) and a method of drug screening (p.96, 3rd paragraph bridging p.97-98). The application does not disclose any other transgenic animals/mice with hepatocellular carcinoma phenotype wherein a FGF19 transgene was expressed under a different promoter other than the single example of said MLC promoter. Since the specification fails to disclose other broadly claimed gene promoters that were used for driving FGF19 transgene expression in deriving a mouse with hepatocellular carcinoma, it is unclear how one skilled in the art could use the invention as claimed given the unpredictability in the art regarding using any promoter in generating cancer. Apart from the art established fact regarding the tissue specificity and species specificity of many of the gene promoters, it has also been observed that a promoter expressing the same gene may cause cancer in one species tissue may not do so in other species. For example Roberts et al (2000, Toxicology Letters 112:49-57) observes that there is a clear species difference in hepatocarcinogenic sensitivity attributed to peroxisome proliferators (PPs) in rodents, guinea pigs and humans that is mediated by acyl CoA oxidase (ACO). Roberts (supra) indicates that this species difference could be attributed to qualitative differences in the response of the gene promoters. Human ACO gene promoter differs in sequence and activity from the rat equivalent (Abstract; p.56, col.1). Thus applicant's disclosure does not enable one skilled in the art to practice the invention as claimed without further undue amount of experimentation, which requires the identification and characterization of sufficient number of examples of gene promoters for their ability to induce hepatocarcinogenesis in a mouse having a FGF19 gene expressed under their control. At issue, under the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 1 12, first paragraph is whether, given the WandsArt Unit: 1633

factors, the experimentation was undue or unreasonable under the circumstances. "Experimentation must not require ingenuity beyond that to be expected of one of ordinary skill in the art." See Fields v. Conover, 443 F.2d 1386, 170 USPQ 276 (CCPA 1970).

Conclusion:

No claim allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Kelaginamane Hiriyanna Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-3307. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9 AM-5PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach Ph.D., may be reached at (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Status information for unpublished applications is Private PAIR or Public PAIR. available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). When calling please have your application serial number or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO call center (UCC) at (800) 786-9199.

Kelaginamane T. Hiriyanna
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1633

) O Cy Outar AU 1633